Petitioner:


# STOCKHAUSEN, INC.

2401 Doyle Street
Greensboro, North Carolina 27406


# ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

FOR

## A superabsorbent polymer (SAP) for use as an absorptive medium in absorbent pads employed in the packaging of poultry (e.g., chicken) which is either refrigerated or frozen until used

## Amendment of Food Additive Petition 2B4323

005280

1. **DATE:** **February 3, 1997**

2. **NAME OF PETITIONER:** **Stockhausen, Inc.**

3. **ADDRESS:** **2401 Doyle Street**
**Greensboro, North Carolina 27406**

## *4. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION*

### A. Requested Approval

Stockhausen requests that the food additive regulations be amended to permit the use of a grafted copolymer of cross-linked polyacrylic acid sodium salt polymer with polyvinyl alcohol as an absorptive medium in absorbent pads employed in the packaging of poultry (e.g. chicken) which is either refrigerated or frozen until used.

### B. Need for the Action

Food products sold in grocery stores, supermarkets and similar stores are usually displayed and sold in packages. The package is most often composed of a supporting tray that is overwrapped by a transparent plastic film, or by a transparent plastic bag. These packages allow the consumer to inspect the product and, at the same time, protect the food from external contamination.

Some food types, such as meat, poultry, and fish, are typically washed before packaging. Fluids from washing, as well as fluids discharged from the food products themselves, can accumulate inside the package. As much as 3 ounces of fluid can accumulate in the package from the time the food is packaged until it is consumed. The accumulated fluids can support the rapid growth of microorganisms which could cause food to spoil. Moreover, fluid within the food packages often creates an unsightly appearance and may lower the product's appeal to the consumer.

Cellulose pads are typically used to absorb excess fluid in meat, poultry, and fish packages, but their absorption capacity is very limited. To improve absorption capacity, and specifically the retention capacity of liquid under an external pressure, an

absorbent core made of special polymers, the so-called "superabsorbents," can be added to the pads. Due to their structure, these polymers have the ability to absorb excess liquid into the polymer matrix by swelling, even against external pressure.

The retention capability of the polymer prevents the squeezing out of liquid and minimizes food contamination by stagnant liquids. Thus, is intended to be used as an absorbent agent to improve the absorption capacity, and specifically the retention capacity, of composite structures for food packaging applications.

## C. Location of Production

The production site for is located in where Stockhausen, Inc. is based. The Stockhausen plant is located within the city limits approximately one mile south of the downtown business district. Stockhausen is part of an industrial park located on the east side of and Streets. Other uses in the industrial park include warehouses, textile facilities, and meat packagers. Residential and commercial shopping areas are located in the vicinity of the industrial park.

## D. Locations Where Product Will Be Assembled, Used and Disposed

### (1) Assembly

Following production, will be assembled into food packaging pads by pad manufacturers in the United States, Australia, Europe and other countries.

### (2) Use

Primary users of include chicken processing firms in the United States. Approximately 80 percent of the chickens processed by the companies below are placed in packages that contain cellulose or fluff PULP absorption pads. The number of pads currently used per year by each chicken processor are estimated as follows:

005282

| COMPANY | PADS/WEEK | PADS/YEAR |
|---|---|---|
| Tyson | 38,000,000 | 1,989,000,000 |
| ConAgra | 16,800,000 | 874,000,000 |
| Gold Kist | 14,600,000 | 757,000,000 |
| Perdue | 11,200,000 | 582,000,000 |
| Pilgrim's Pride | 9,600,000 | 499,000,000 |
| Continental Grain | 6,200,000 | 324,000,000 |
| Hudson Food | 6,100,000 | 316,000,000 |
| Foster Farm | 5,100,000 | 266,000,000 |
| Seaboard Farm | 4,600,000 | 241,000,000 |

**(3) Disposal**

After use, the absorption pads containing will be disposed of in household waste, following the common routes of disposal, such as incineration, composting, and landfill, similar to those utilized for disposable diapers and other personal care articles. Disposal requirements would be no different than that presently employed for absorbent pads in food packaging, although total volume of disposal could be reduced due to the enhanced performance of the new superabsorbent product.

## E. Brief Description of Environments That May be Affected During Production, Use, and Disposal

A brief description of the environments that may be affected during production, use, and disposal is provided below. A more detailed description is provided in Section 6, below.

### 1. Production

*Air*: During production exhaust gases are emitted to the atmosphere after they have been cleaned in an air scrubber. The air scrubbers remove over 98 percent of the acrylic acid, the main component of Stockhausen holds and complies with all required federal, state, and local air permits.

005283

*Water*: Wastewater from the air scrubbers contains acrylic acid and particulates which form suspended and dissolved organic matter. The acrylic acid is neutralized with sodium hydroxide and then

discharged to local wastewater treatment plants where it is treated by the municipal treatment plant. Stockhausen is in compliance with all required federal, state, and local wastewater permits.

*Soil/Groundwater*: Direct emissions to soil or groundwater do not occur because production wastes are incinerated and/or landfilled in State- and EPA-approved industrial landfills designed to prevent leaching into surface and/or groundwater.

**2. Use**

Users of will dispose of it by common routes of disposal, such as incineration, composting, and landfills. Therefore, environments potentially affected by use are the same as those potentially affected by disposal. However, because is encased within a pad structure, the matrix of the absorbent environmental exposure during use is essentially absent.

**3. Disposal**

Landfill is the primary route of disposal in the United States. Environments potentially affected by disposal would be watersheds or groundwater receiving leachate from land disposal sites and areas subject to air emissions from landfills and incineration sites.

## 5. *IDENTIFICATION OF CHEMICAL SUBSTANCES THAT ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE PROPOSED ACTION*

Generic information regarding the chemical identity of is provided below. A complete description of the physical and chemical properties of are confidential and are not for public disclosure. This information was provided in Chapter III of Stockhausen's amended petition and is attached to this environmental assessment in *Attachment A*.

### A. Chemical Name

The indirect food additive consists of:

> a grafted copolymer of crosslinked polyacrylic acid sodium salt polymer with polyvinyl alcohol.

The *Chemical Abstracts* name for the indirect food additive is:

> 2-Propenoic acid, polymers with N,N-di-2-propenyl-2-propen-1-amine and hydrolyzed polyvinyl acetate, sodium salts, graft.

### B. Common or Trade Names

The common name for the indirect food additive is "superabsorbent polymer" or "SAP." The trade name for the indirect food additive is

The indirect food additive is manufactured in a formulation known as

### C. Chemical Abstracts Service (CAS) Registry Number

The *Chemical Abstracts Service* registry number for the indirect food additive is 166164-74-5.

005285

## D. *Structural Formula*

The chemical formula of the polymer form is generally as follows:




acrylic acid | acrylic acid sodium salt | PVOH | crosslinker

R: crosslinking agent

## E. Molecular Weight

Current technology available for molecular weight determinations is insufficient for molecular weight analysis of superabsorbent products. Typical molecular weight determinations require sample preparation procedures that provide a homogeneous (dissolved) solution of the compound. products are highly crosslinked polymers with a three dimensional network that is not soluble in any known solvent. Due to the crosslinking network, the determination of the exact molecular weight is not possible with today's available technology. Each separate particle represents essentially only one molecule with an extremely large molecular weight. Therefore, polymer products can be safely said to exceed ten (10) million Daltons.

## F. Physical Description

is a white granulate with residual amounts of moisture [2.2 - 4.9 % (w/w)]. Upon addition of aqueous fluid it starts to swell, yielding a gel-like suspension. Uptake of aqueous fluid is in the range of approximately 70 - 200 grams of fluid per gram of polymer, depending upon the fluid. The pH of is 5.8 - 6.0.

## G. Impurities

Impurities that may occur in the final product include (1) the residual monomer and crosslinker, (2) the by-products formed by side reactions of catalysts, (3) polymerized water soluble components, and (4) heavy metals.

***Residual monomer and crosslinker***: During the manufacturing process residual amounts of free acrylic acid are routinely measured in accordance with standardized methods. Residual crosslinker is measured periodically. The mean values (x) of the free acrylic acid and crosslinker are presented in *Attachment A*.

***By-products formed by side reactions of catalysts***: Due to extreme temperatures used in the manufacture of , impurities resulting from by-products formed in side reactions of the catalysts are unlikely. Where methods exist to measure the possible by-products that may be formed from the side reactions, no values above the limits of detection have been measured.

***Polymerized Water Soluble Components:*** Polymerized acrylic acid up to molecular weights of $10^6$ are soluble in watery solvents and can therefore be extracted from the crosslinked insoluble polymer. The amount of soluble components rages from 3 to 5 percent by weight, depending on the modification and specific test method used.

***Heavy Metals:*** Stockhausen has evaluated for the presence of heavy metals. Only one element, Iron, has been measured above the limits of detection. Its occurrence is due to impurities contained in the raw materials, as well as the manufacturing process.

# 6. *INTRODUCTION OF SUBSTANCES INTO THE ENVIRONMENT*

## A. Overview

A description of the environments that may be affected during production, use, and disposal is provided in Section 4 above. Figure EA-1 outlines the routes by which substances may enter the environment during production, transport and storage, use, and disposal of

## B. Production Sites

will be produced at the plant site of Stockhausen, Inc. Based on Stockhausen's experience with the production of superabsorbent polymers for the hygiene industry, the potential for substances to be introduced into the environment is minimal. However, potential routes by which substances may enter the environment are described below.

### *1. Air Emissions during production*

At the facility air emissions are primarily water, in the form of steam, from the polymer drying process. Emissions of acrylic acid, a major component in the manufacture of are controlled by air scrubber devices with a design removal efficiency of over 98 percent. Emissions of acrylic acid to the environment are 0.058 lb./1,000 lb. of SAP, which are below Stockhausen's permit limits. Moreover, acrylic acid has a short atmospheric half life and is easily destroyed in the atmosphere due to reactions with photochemically produced hydroxyl radicals and ozone.

### *2. Incineration*

005288

Solid waste produced during production is incinerated in the local municipal incinerator along with typical household waste. There are no combustible products contained in Therefore, disposal of

via incineration is not expected to affect incineration operations or the composition of exhaust gases.

### 3. *Emissions to Waste Water and Wastewater Treatment*

Waste water from the emissions control devices (air scrubbers) contains suspended and dissolved organic matter. The waste water is piped directly to the local municipal waste water treatment plants in

will not interfere with wastewater treatment plant operations. Chemically, is similar to well-known compounds like the polycarboxylates (used as co-builders in detergents for phosphate and phosphate substitution) and anionic flocculants which are widely used in solid/liquid separation processes like biosludge treatment. *See Attachment B.* Further, will not affect microbial growth in wastewater treatment processes because it is not toxic to either bacteria or ciliates.

### 4. *Emissions to Surface Water*

binds to biosludge or associates with the biosludge fraction in the wastewater treatment process. The concentration of

in biosludge is estimated to be approximately 1.8 *kg* of polymer per ton of dry biosludge. If were assumed not to absorb to biosludge, a predicted environmental concentration of approximately 1 μg of suspended and dissolved organic matter (polymer) per liter of river water can be derived. *See Attachment C.*

### 5. *Emissions to Soil*

Biosludge generated from wastewater treatment at the municipal treatment plant may be used on soil. Assuming that 5 tons of biosludge were spread on 10,000m$^2$ of soil over a three year period, the predicted concentration of in the soil would be 18 *mg* of polymer per *kg* soil. However, all biosludge generated at the Greensboro municipal wastewater

treatment plant is incinerated. Therefore, no emissions to soil in connection with the production of in are expected.

### 6. *Emissions of By-Products Theoretically Formed in the Production Process*

As discussed in Section 5(G) above, theoretically by-products may be formed in the side reactions of the catalysts used in the polymerization process. Because of the extreme temperatures under which polymerization occurs such by-products have not been measured. Moreover, should these by-products form, emissions would be controlled by Stockhausen's emissions control devices. Therefore, these by-products will not be introduced into the environment.

## C. Transport and Storage

Environmental exposure during transport and storage of is not expected because the granules are packaged and shipped in moisture-proof containers. Further, does not dissolve when in comes into contact with water.

## D. Use

It is highly unlikely that users of will come into direct contact with the polymer. Moreover, toxicological testing conducted on the polymer shows to be nontoxic. *See* Indirect Food Additive Petition 2B4323, Chapter VI.

## E. Municipal Solid Waste

### 1. *Landfilling*

Migration of the soluble components under worse-case conditions with respect to a realistic landfill situation are estimated to be very low. Studies reveal only 3 - 5 percent by weight of the soluble components of is extracted. Studies also show that 20 percent of the soluble components of

are degraded to carbon dioxide within 39 weeks. *See Attachment V.* Recent work also suggests that suberabsorbents may be able to be biodegraded in the presence of white-rot fungi. *See Attachment W.*

Further calculations are difficult to make because data about the typical amount of leachates out of a landfill and their subsequent dispersion into ground and/or surface waters are not available.

### 2. *Incineration*

There are no combustible products contained in Therefore, disposal of via incineration is not expected to affect incineration operations or the composition of exhaust gases.




**Figure EA-1**

**Routes By Which Substances May Enter the Environment During Production, Transport and Storage, use, and disposal of**

005292

## F. Applicable Requirements and Permits

### *1. Air Regulations*

The facility is regulated pursuant to Air Pollution Control Laws, Gen. Stat. §§ 143-215.105 *et seq.*, and Air Pollution Control Regulations, Admin. Code, Subchapter 2D - Air Pollution Control Requirements and Subchapter 2H - Permits. The industry emission standard for acrylic acid is 0.05 mg/m$^3$.

### *2. Occupational Regulations*

The Occupational Safety and Health Act sets the following exposure limits (*See* 29 C.F.R. § 1910.1):

| | |
|---|---|
| Acrylic acid | 10 ppm |
| Total Particulates: | 15 mg/m$^3$ |
| Respirable Particulates | 5 mg/m$^3$ |

### *3. Surface Water Regulations*

Discharge to municipal waste water treatment plant is regulated by City of ordinance in conjunction with the City's Department of Utilities Wastewater Division-Industrial Waste Section.

Stormwater runoff is regulated by the State of pursuant to Gen. Stat. § 143-215.1.

### *4. Permits*

Stockhausen's facility holds the following permits:

**Air Emissions:**
**Permit No. 4535R12, expiration date August 31, 2000.**

| Substance | Permit Limits |
|---|---|
| Acrylic acid | 2.006 lbs/hr |
| Ethylene carbonate | 1.124 lbs/hr |
| Particulates | 3.194 lbs/hr |
| Volatile organics | 18.974 lbs/hr |

**Wastewater:**
**Permit No. P021, expiration date, August 31, 1998**

| Substance | Permit Limits |
|---|---|
| Biological Oxygen Demand | 200 mg/L |
| Temperature | less than 35°C |
| Total Suspended Solids | 200 mg/L |
| pH | 5.0 to 12.00 |
| Flow | 200,000 g/day |
| Cadmium | 0 .0015 lbs/day |
| Chromium | 0.025 lbs/day |
| Copper | 0.031 lbs/day |
| Nickel | 0.011 lbs/day |
| Silver | 0.0025 lbs/day |
| Lead | 0.8056 lbs/day |
| Zinc | 3.0474 lbs/day |

**Stormwater: Permit No. 000010, expiration date August 31, 1999**

| Substance | Permit Limits |
|---|---|
| pH | 6.0 to 9.0 |
| Oil and Grease | < 30 mg/L |
| Lead, total recoverable | < 0.033 mg/L |
| Total Suspended Solids | < 100 mg/L |
| Detergents (MBAS) | < 0.50 mg/L |

5. *Statement of Compliance*

Stockhausen, Inc., certifies that its facility exercises air, wastewater and occupational safety control in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local requirements.

## G. Effect Approval of Proposed Action Will Have Upon Compliance With Emissions Requirements at Both Production Sites

The approval of the food additive will not burden compliance with current emission requirements at the plant. is already produced for export to Australia and the polymer contained in is also produced for non-food contact products (e.g. diapers, incontinence pads, and feminine hygiene products).

## H. Estimated Production Quantities of

The amount of employed by manufacturers in an absorbent pad will be driven by two factors: (1) the minimum performance of the pad (i.e. fluid absorption and retention; and (2) the market price of versus fluff pulp. Therefore, it is difficult to devise precise estimates of production quantities of estimates of absorbent pads containing that will be produced. Stockhausen will only

produce quantities of for which there is market demand. However, assuming 0.8 grams of per absorbent pad, Stockhausen estimates the following production quantities of both and absorbent pads over a 5-year period:

first year
second year
third year
fourth year
fifth year

Absorbent Pads:

first year
second year
third year
fourth year
fifth year

# 7. *FATE OF EMITTED SUBSTANCES IN THE ENVIRONMENT*

The super absorbent polymer can enter the environment in three different forms: as a granulate, as a gel, and after extraction in a watery environment, as soluble components.

## A. *The Granulate*

There is no significant environmental exposure from the granulate.

## B. *The Gel*

When the dry granulate are exposed to aqueous fluids a gelled material will result due to uptake of the fluid by the granulate.

In soil and possibly in landfills the gel slowly undergoes irreversible shrinkage. Polyacrylate polymers function in an anionic exchange capacity where the sodium ion is replaced predominantly by calcium and magnesium ions and other multivalent cations. Exchange of ions leads to a sharp reduction of the water retention capacity and hence the potential of any water soluble components to leach out of the polymer matrix.

The gel itself appears to be stable against microbial attack. UV-light and some heavy metals, such as iron, appear to be able to destroy the gel, disintegrating it into soluble components. The practical relevance of these mechanisms for soil is still not clear.

## C. *Soluble Components*

When excess water is added to the polymer soluble components can migrate out of the polymer matrix. Preliminary data indicate that biological degradation of the water soluble components is possible. *See Attachment D.*

Suspended polymer and soluble components of SAP were tested with respect to their behavior in waste water plants (*See Attachment E*). It was found that 97% of the polymer was retained in the solids and effluent releases were only minimal. This indicates that the elimination rate due to precipitation and adsorption is very high.

Furthermore, behavior of soluble components of SAP in sand with respect to migration and adsorption was examined (*See Attachment F*). Some migration dependent upon molecular weight was indeed observed, but most of the polymer was retained in the sand.

Additional data about desorption, absorption and migration in soil will be available in the future, due to an ongoing study program involving radiolabelled superabsorbent polymer. This study will give a very clear picture of the actual behavior of all aspects of SAP in the ecosystem.

# 8. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS OF RELEASED SUBSTANCES

## *A.* Air

Air emissions are controlled by air scrubber devices that have a design removal rate of over 98 percent. Therefore, substances are not released to air during the manufacture of

## *B.* Water Ecosystems

No adverse effects to water organisms are expected under realistic environmental exposure conditions. Tests performed to evaluate

effects on water organisms are described below. It is important to note that water extracts can only be tested technically, as there is no specific analytical means to determine the water extracted components of the carbon content (referred to as *"mg C/l"*).

### 1. *Effects on Growth of Water Organisms*

a. Chronic effects to the growth behavior and propagation of the microorganism *Pseudomonas putida* were determined with a watery extract of No inhibitory effects of growth behavior of the bacteria were observed; *i.e.*, cytotoxic, cytostatic or biocidal effects are not to be expected. The $EC_{50}$ value for half maximum propagation is higher than the highest concentration tested, *i.e.*, 698 *mg* C/*l* which equals 6 *g* polymer/*l*. Therefore, no critical effects to bacteria are expected under relevant disposal conditions. *Chronic Bacterial Toxicity of Favor PAC 990 on Pseudomonas putida*, Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment G.*

b. Growth behavior of the single cellular algae *Scenedesmus subspicatus* was determined with a watery extract of FAVOR® PAC. Inhibition of growth was observed at all concentrations

tested. The $EC_{50}$ value which defines half maximum growth is approximately 50 *mg* C/*l* which equals 0.5 *g* polymer/*l*.

The observed moderate toxicity is thought to be of minor practical importance under realistic environmental exposure conditions. *Chronic Algae Toxicity of Favor PAC 990 on Scenedesmus subspicatus,* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment H.*

c. Cells of the ciliate *Tetrahymena pyriformis* were incubated for 48 hours with a watery extract of At low concentrations of 30 - 60 *mg* C/*l*, no negative effects on growth behavior were observed; higher concentrations of 250 - 500 *mg* C/*l* led to a reduction in the growth rate but no biocidal effects to the point that the cells were killed. The $EC_{50}$ value for half maximum cell propagation is greater than the highest concentration tested, *i.e.*, 500 *mg* C/*l* which equals 6 *g* polymer/*l*. *Chronic Ciliate Toxicity of Favor PAC 990 on Tetrahymena pyriformis*, Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment I.*

2. *Cytotoxic Effects*

a. Cytotoxic effects and inhibition of reproduction of the water polyp *Hydra litoralis* were determined by exposure to a watery extract of for 14 days. At concentrations of 12.5 - 50 *mg* C/*l*, no cytotoxic effects were observed, but reproduction rates were reduced; higher concentrations of 100 - 200 *mg* C/*l* led to cytotoxic symptoms and a greater reduction in reproduction. The reproduction rate in comparison to the control was reduced in each concentration tested. The $EC_5$ value, defined as the concentration of the test substance which restricts the reproduction rate to about 5%, is between 10 and 15 *mg* C/*l*

which equals 0.18 - 0.26 *g* polymer/*l*. Correspondingly, the $EC_{50}$ value, defined as a reduction of reproduction to about 50%, is between 70 and 78 *mg* C/*l*, which equals 1.23 - 1.37 *g* polymer/*l*. *Hydra Reproduction Test of Favor PAC 990 on Hydra litoralis*, Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment J.*

The observed inhibition of reproduction is thought to be of minor practical importance when realistic environmental exposure conditions are taken into consideration. Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991).

b. Acute effects on the immobilization of the daphnids *Daphnia magna* were determined with a watery extract of

At low concentrations of 12.5 - 150 *mg* C/*l*, none of the daphnids were affected. The $EC_{50}$ value after 48 hours is approximately 175 *mg* C/*l* which equals 3 *g* polymer/*l*. *Acute Daphnia Toxicity of Favor PAC 990 on Daphnia magna,* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment K.*

c. Adverse chronic effects on the reproduction of the daphnids *Daphnia magna* were determined with watery extracts of

At concentrations of 25 *mg* C/*l*, a small increase of the reproduction rate could be determined; higher concentrations of 75 - 100 *mg* C/*l* led to a reduced reproduction rate and an increase in the number of very small and dead neonates. The $EC_{50}$ value, defined as that concentration which reduces the reproduction rate by 50% over a time period of 21 days, is approximately 160 *mg* C/*l* which equals to 3.2 *g* polymer/*l*. *Daphnia Reproduction Test of Favor PAC 990 on Daphnia magna,* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment L.* Therefore,

will have no critical deleterious effects on the swimming ability and no negative influence on the reproduction rate of daphnids under relevant environmental exposure conditions.

3. *Acute Effects to Fish*

To determine acute lethal effects to fish, the cold water species *Leuciscus idus* (golden orf) and the warm water species *Brachydanio rerio* (zebra fish) were exposed to watery extracts of for 96 hours.

a. Golden orfs: At concentrations of 40 - 160 *mg* C/*l*, no deaths were observed, while 30% of the fish exposed to 320 *mg* C/*l* died. The $LC_{50}$ value, which defines the median lethal concentration after 96 hours, is greater than 320 *mg/l*, which equals 5.5 *g* polymer/*l*, for golden orfs. *Acute Fish Toxicity of on Leuciscus idus (golden orf),* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment M.*

b. Zebra fish: At concentrations of 40 - 160 *mg* C/*l*, no deaths were observed, while 90% of the fish exposed to 320 *mg* C/*l* died. The $LC_{50}$ value for zebra fish, which defines the median lethal concentration after 96 hours, is approximately 250 *mg/l*, which equals 4.3 *g* polymer/*l*. *Acute Fish Toxicity of on Brachydanio rerio (Zebra fish),* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment N.*

4. *Chronic Effects to Fish*

a. Golden orfs: *Leuciscus idus* were exposed to water extracts of over 14 days. None of the concentrations to which the fish were exposed caused death or toxic symptoms. The $LC_{50}$ value for chronic exposure is 300 *mg C/l* which equals 4.98 *g* polymer/*l*. *Chronic Fish Toxicity of on*

005302

*Leuciscus idus (golden orf),* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1992). *See Attachment X.*

b. Zebra fish: *Brachydanio rerio* (zebra fish) were exposed to watery extracts of over a time period of 28 days. No deaths were observed at levels up to 150 *mg* C/*l* which equals 2.5 *g* polymer/*l*. The $LC_{50}$ value for the prolonged toxicity test with zebra fish is 250 *mg* C/*l*, which equals 4 *g* polymer/*l*. *Chronic Fish Toxicity of on Brachydanio rerio (Zebra fish),* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment O.*

Therefore, has to be regarded as essentially non-toxic to fish.

5. ***Effects on Germination and Development***

Adverse effects on germination and development of germs of the cress *Lapidium sativum* in water were determined after 5 days in a watery extract and in the gelled form of At low concentrations of 50 - 100 *mg* C/*l*, which equals 0.75 - 1.5 *g* polymer/*l*, no negative effect on the root length, the dry weight or the bonity of the seedlings was observed; concentrations of 200 - 500 *mg* C/*l* of the extract and 10 *g*/*l* of the gel led to inhibition of root growth and weight development. The $EC_{50}$ value, defined as the concentration of the test substance which reduces the growth of the germinating plants by 50%, is 145 *mg* C/*l* which equals 2.2 *g* polymer/*l*. *Cress Germination Test of on Lapidium sativum,* Laboratory for Toxicology and Ecology, Stockhausen (1991). *See Attachment P.*

The observed slight phytotoxic effect is thought to be due to suffocation of the plants and to be of no practical importance, when realistic environmental exposure conditions are taken into consideration.

As can be seen from the data (a summarized compilation is enclosed in *Attachment Q*, the extracts of the are essentially non-toxic if the calculated PEC value for surface water is taken into consideration. The safety factor is at least in the order of 2 x 105 to 5 x 106 if the appropriate no observed effect levels/concentrations (NOEL/C) of *Attachment Q* are used for calculation.

## *C.* Terrestrial Ecosystems

Testing of the in soil was executed with the polymer in a gelled state. The following tests were performed:

### 1. *Soil*

The percentage mortality of the earthworm *Eisenia foetida* exposed to over a time period of 14 days was determined. The test soil was mixed with 200 *g* of per 10 *kg* of dry soil.

Percentage mortality was 31% after 14 days and, therefore, the $LC_{50}$ value for half maximum mortality is greater than 20 *g/kg*.

Taking realistic exposure conditions into account, is regarded to be non-toxic to earthworms. *Determination of Acute Toxicity to Earthworms (Limit Test)* (1990). *See Attachment R.*

### 2. *Birds*

Acute toxicity of to the bird *Colinus virginianus* (bobwhite quail) was determined by administering a single oral application of and observing the birds for 14 days. As no deaths or adverse clinical effects were observed at a dose level of 2,000 *mg/kg* body weight the $LD_{50}$ value for half maximum lethality is greater than 2,000 *mg/kg*. - *An Investigation of the*

*Acute Toxicity of a Superabsorbent Polymer to Bobwhite Quail* (1990). *See Attachment S*

Therefore, no critical effects to birds are expected under relevant waste conditions.

3. ***Plants***

a. Phytotoxic effects of upon the emergence and growth of seedlings of *Triticum aestivum* (winter wheat) and *Phaseolus aureus* (mung bean) in soil were determined by mixing the test substance with the soil. Target concentrations were 0, 5.0, 10. and 20.0 $g/kg^{-1}$. After 14 days, no phytotoxic effects were observed in the winter wheat, including death of seedlings due to rotting and failure of cotyledons to open. Root growth was stunted at the highest test concentrations; the $LC_{50}$ value for emergence was greater than 20 $g/kg^{-1}$; the $EC_{50}$ for growth rate based on weight at termination was 8.80 $g/kg^{-1}$.

In mung beans, the $LC_{50}$ value for emergence is 9.2 $g/kg^{-1}$; the $EC_{50}$ for growth rate based on weight at termination was 11.85 $g/kg^{-1}$. Phytotoxic effects on mung beans include the death of seedlings due to rotting and failure of cotyledons to open. This is thought to be due to physical effects by creating a very moist environment around the roots, leading to rotting of the mung bean seedlings. *Phytotoxic Effects of a Cross-Linked Homopolyacrylate* (1991). *See Attachment T.*

Therefore, under appropriate use conditions no critical deleterious effects are expected.

b. Phytotoxic effects of on the emergence and growth of seedlings of the following plants were determined with application rates of 0, 0.2, 2.0 and 20.0 g/kg soil:

*Phaseolus aureus* (mung bean), *Lactuca sativa* (lettuce), *Lapidium sativum* (cress), *Lycopersicon esculentum* (tomato) and *Cucumis sativus* (cucumber).

Germination was not effected in lettuce, tomato and cucumber up to the highest concentration and for mung bean and cress in the $LC_{50}$ values for emergence is 9.2 and 4.1 *g/kg,* respectively. Growth rate of the seedlings was reduced in all species and the $EC_{50}$ values for half maximum growth rate varies between 10.1 and 0.22 *g/kg*. Test material was observed to adhere to the roots as jelly-like particles at 2.0 and 20.0 *g/kg* concentration. The observed phytotoxic effects on the seedlings may be due to different sensitivity of the species to the very moist environment around the roots and are thought to be of minor practical importance when realistic environmental exposure conditions are taken into consideration. *See Attachment U.*

Taking into consideration the PEC estimated above, either no safety factors can be calculated because of any lack of toxicity for bacteria and quails or calculation is not possible because of unrealistic exposure conditions.

## 9. USE OF RESOURCES AND ENERGY

Precise data regarding the sue of resources and energy related to are not available. however, is not required if the proposed food additive is intended for the same use as another additive already in use (i.e., fluff pulp), and therefore will not materially change the potential use of the packaging

material to which it is added. Cellulose Fluff (wood pulp) is presently used in food packaging. The superabsorbent polymer is intended as an adjunct to or replacement for the fluff.

The use of the superabsorbent significantly improves absorbent pad performance in that higher amounts of fluid even under high pressure are taken up by the SAP reinforced pads as compared to conventional types. Due to the enhanced absorption and retention of the new structure, fewer pads will be necessary per package of poultry, meat, or fish. This means that the total volume of disposed soaker pads could be reduced by the introduction of the new food additive

## 10. MITIGATION MEASURES

Mitigation measures are not required because of negligible impact on the environment. Therefore, a discussion of mitigation measures is not required.

## 11. ALTERNATIVES TO THE PROPOSED ACTION

Based on available data, has no significant environmental impacts. Therefore, a discussion of alternatives to the proposed action is not required.

## 12. LIST OF PREPARERS

Jane Elisabeth Mills, Senior Applications Chemist, Stockhausen, Inc., Greensboro, North Carolina; Bachelor of Science in Chemistry.

Dr. rer. nat. Joachim Haselbach, biochemist and toxicologist, Chemische Fabrik Stockhausen GmbH, Krefeld, Germany, diploma in Biochemistry, Ph.D. in Molecular Toxicology; Board Certified Toxicologist of German Society of Pharmacology and Toxicology (DGPT).

Sigrid Hey, Zoologist; diploma in Biology, Chemische Fabrik Stockhausen GmbH, Krefeld, Germany.

Richard Pelt, Manager, Environmental & Safety, Stockhausen, Inc. Greensboro, North Carolina; Bachelor of Science in Chemistry.

Richard J. Scovic, Manager-Absorbent Polymers & Textile Auxiliaries Division, Stockhausen, Inc., Greensboro, North Carolina; Bachelor of Science in Mechanical Engineering.

W. David Carter, Sales Manager Absorbent Polymers Emerging Technologies, Stockhausen, Inc., Greensboro, North Carolina, Bachelor of Science in Chemistry.

## 13. CERTIFICATION

The undersigned official certifies that the information presented is true, accurate, and complete to the best of the knowledge of the firm responsible for the preparation of the environmental assessment.

DATE: February 3, 1997

SIGNATURE:

W. David Carter
Title: Sales Manager
Absorbent Polymers
Emerging Technologies
Stockhausen, Inc.

005308

## 14. ATTACHMENTS

A. Chemical Identity of (No Public Disclosure)

B. H.J. Opgenorth, L. Umweltuerträglichkeit von Polycarboxylaten, 24 Tenside Surfactants Detergents, 366 (1987) with English translations

C. Estimation of Predicted Environmental Concentration (PEC)

D. Aerobic biodegradation Under Controlled Composting Conditions (1992)

E. Martin, J. Et al, Carbon-14 Tracer Study of Polyacrylate Polymer in a Waste Plant, 41 *Appl. Radiat. Isot.* 1165 (1989)

F. Martin, J. Et al. Environmental Behavior of $^{14}$C-Tagged Polyacrylate Polymer: Column Studies of Flow and Retardation in Sand, 7 *Nuclear and Chemical Waste Management* 265 (1987)

G. Chronic Bacterial Toxicity of on *Pseudomonas putida*

H. Chronic Algae Toxicity of *Scenedesmus subspicatus*

I. Chronic Ciliate Toxicity of on *Tetrahymena pyriformis*

J. Hydra Reproduction Test of on *Hydra litoralis*

K. Acute Daphnia Toxicity of on *Daphnia magna*

L. Daphnia Reproduction Test of I on *Daphnia magna*

M. Acute Fish Toxicity of on *Leuciscus idus*

N. Acute Fish Toxicity of on *Brachydanio rerio*

O. Chronic Fish Toxicity of on *Brachydanio rerio*

P. Cross Germination Test of on *Lapidium sativum*

Q. Summary of Ecotoxicity Data of

R. Determination of Acute Toxicity to Earthworms

S. An Investigation of the Acute Toxicity of a Superabsorbent Polymer to Bobwhite Quail

T. Phototoxic Effects of on Winter Wheat and Mung Bean

U. Phototoxic Effects of on Mung Bean, Lettuce, Cress, Tomatoes, and Cucumber

V. The Metabolism of the Aqueous Soluble Fraction of [$^{14}$C]- in Soil Under Aerobic Conditions

W. Degradation of Crosslinked Acrylic Polymers by White-Rot Fungi

X. Chronic Fish Toxicity of on *Leuciscus idus*