

REPORT OF MILK SANITATION RATING (2359j, Page 1)

Of A Brown Dairy

As of April 10, 2000

Shippers Name and Address			Date		
Regulatory Agency My State Department of Agriculture		Milk Sanitarian M.I. Good	Ordinance in Effect Edition 1997 Date Adopted: 9 April, 1999		
Surveyed by: (Name) (Title) (Agency) M.Milkramer, Milk Sanitation Rating Officer, State HD	Date Certified by PHS/FDA: 6-17-99	Survey based on : 1999 Edition PHS/FDA Pasteurized Milk Ordinance		Approved Laboratory (Name or #) #63540 Date: July 1999	

SUMMARY OF SURVEY RESULTS

Number of dairy farms	314	Sanitation compliance rating of raw milk for pasteurization	91
Number of dairy farms inspected	40	Sanitation compliance rating of milk plant	94
Number of milk plants	1		
Number of milk plants inspected	1	Enforcement Rating	92
Total pounds of pasteurized milk	1,628,000		

Recommendations of Milk Sanitation Rating Officer

The Sanitation compliance rating of the raw milk for pasteurization, the pasteurization plant and the enforcement rating are approximately the same as reported for the last rating. Although these scores meet the minimum requirements for participation in the IMS program, the observations made during this rating indicate the need to improve some areas of the milk sanitation program. These include:
1. Attention should be directed to the items of sanitation which were found in violation at 25% or more of the dairy farms, items #3,6,12 and 16.
2. In the milk plant, particular attention should be directed to the HTST pasteurization deficiencies, item 16p(B) 2.
3. The milk regulatory agency should adhere more closely to the minimum required frequency for inspecting milk tank trucks.
4. Written notices of intent to suspend should be issued when there are repeat violations.
Note: Two farm bulk milk storage tanks manufactured after January 1, 2000 are not equipped with acceptable recording devices.

REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (Plant Only, 2359j, Page 2)

Shipper Clear Milk Plant
Date of Survey February 12, 2000

Enforcement Rating 89.7 = 90

DAIRY FARMS PART I						MILK PLANT PART II						INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING PART III											
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farmers hold valid permits				5		1	3	All milk plants receiving station and transfer station operators hold valid permits				5		1		Enter total credit from Part I under percent complying				45	N/A
2	5	All dairy farms, etc., inspected at least once every 6 months or as required in Appendix "P"				15		2	5	Milk plant inspected at least once every 3 months, transfer station(s) once every 6 months	8	8	100	15		2		Enter total credit from Part II under percent complying			89.4	45/90	80.5
3	5	Inspection sheets posted or available				5		3	5	Inspection sheets posted or available				5		3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	5	4	80	4	3.2
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA Milk Ordinance as indicated by past inspections				10		4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA Milk Ordinance as indicated by past inspections	1	.8	80	8		4	11	Provisions of Section 11 followed when milk and milk products are imported				6	6
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10		5	App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency	8	6	75	11.3		INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS							
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required				5		6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required	8	6	75	3.8	Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization: Without receiving station or plant: Evaluate all items Part I and record. With receiving stations: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II except items 5, 7, & 8. Divide by 65. Evaluate all items Part III.								
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5		7	6	Samples of each plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and necessary laboratory examination made	5	4	80	8	Individual Shipper of Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products: With attached Raw Supply: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II, use 45 weight. Evaluate all items Part III. With Unattached Raw Supplies: Evaluate all items Part II, use 90 weight. Evaluate all items Part III, except 1.								
8	6	At least four samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six months and necessary laboratory examinations made				10		8	App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	.93	93	9.3									
9	6	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10		9	3,5,6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	1	100	15									
10	3,5,6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15		10		Records systematically maintained and current	1	9	90	9									
11		Records systematically maintained and current				10		TOTAL CREDIT, Part II						89.4	Remarks (Continued)								
TOTAL CREDIT, Part I						Remarks (Continued)						7. No annual vitamin samples for fat free milk.											
Remarks						4. Violations of 15 b.(c) and 16 b. (2) (d) existed but were not marked at last inspection.						8. See Evaluation of Sampling Procedures.											
						5. Two of 8 sets of pasteurizer tests were incomplete.						Part III											
												3. "Grade A" only in yogurt ingredients statement.											
						6. Two water samples missing.																	

EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (*Plant - Part II, Item 8*)
 For calculation of REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS, FDA 2359j
 Part I, Item 9 and Part II, Item 8)

Shipper Clear Milk Plant

Inspecting Agency My State Dept. of Health

1 Milk Road, My State, 11111

My State Capitol, My State, 22222

Permit Number 2233

Date (s) 12 February, 2000

No.	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Sample Surveillance Officers Properly Certified	2	2	100	5	5
2	Adequate Training Program	1	1	100	5	5
3	Sample Surveillance Authority Properly Delegated	2	2	100	10	10
4	All Samplers Hold Valid License or Permits	NA	NA	NA	10	NA
5	Sampler Evaluations Each 2 Years and Reports Filed	8	6	75	20	15
6	Sampling Procedures in Substantial Compliance	4	4	100	20	20
7	Permit Suspension, etc., Taken as Required	NA	NA	NA	20	NA
8	Records Systematically Maintained and Current	1	1	100	10	10
	Total Credit				100	65/70= .93

Items 4 and 7 of this form are not applicable when calculating enforcement scores for Report of Enforcement Methods, Part II, Item 8.

Comments: (5) One of two State regulatory officials who take samples at this plant and one of six milk plant receiving personnel who sample incoming tankers have not been evaluated in the last two years.

REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (Rec. Sta. & BTU, 2359j, Page 2)

Shipper Clear Milk Coop Receiving Station

Enforcement Rating = 90.8 = 91

Date of Survey February 13, 2000

DAIRY FARMS PART I							MILK PLANT PART II						INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING PART III										
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All dairy farms hold valid permits	25	25	100	5	5	1	3	All milk plants receiving station and transfer station operators hold valid permits				5	5	1		Enter total credit from Part I under percent complying			88.6	45	39.9
2	5	All dairy farms, etc., inspected at least once every 6 months or as required in Appendix "P"	25	20	80	15	12	2	5	Milk plant inspected at least once every 3 months, transfer station(s) once every 6 months	8	6	75	15	11.3	2		Enter total credit from Part II under percent complying			90.9	45/90	40.9
3	5	Inspection sheets posted or available	25	25	100	5	5	3	5	Inspection sheets posted or available				5	5	3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled	1	1	100	4	4
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA Milk Ordinance as indicated by past inspections	25	20	80	10	8	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA Milk Ordinance as indicated by past inspections	1	.9	90	10	9	4	11	Provisions of Section 11 followed when milk and milk products are imported				6	6
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	7 App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency	N/A	N/A	N/A	15	N/A	INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS							
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	25	25	100	5	5	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required	8	6	75	5	3.8	Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization:							
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5	5	7	6	Samples of each plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and necessary laboratory examination made	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	Without receiving station or plant: Evaluate all items Part I and record. With receiving stations: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II except items 5, 7, & 8. Divide by 65. Evaluate all items Part III.							
8	6	At least four samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six months and necessary laboratory examinations made	25	20	80	10	8	8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	N/A	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	Individual Shipper of Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products:							
9	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	.82	82	10	8.2	9	3,5,6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	1	1	100	15	15	With attached Raw Supply: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II, use 45 weight. Evaluate all items Part III.							
10	3,5,6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	25	22	88	15	13.2	10		Records systematically maintained and current	1	1	100	10	10	With Unattached Raw Supplies: Evaluate all items Part II, use 90 weight. Evaluate all items Part III, except 1.							
11		Records systematically maintained and current	25	23	92	10	9.2	TOTAL CREDIT, Part II						Remarks (Continued)									
TOTAL CREDIT, Part I							88.6	(59.1 ÷ 65X100 = 90.9)						Part II									
Remarks								(Part I continued)															
2. Minimum inspection interval not met on 5 dairy farms.								8. Insufficient number of samples of 5 dairy farms.						2. Two inspection frequencies missed.									
4. Violations existing during the last inspection not marked on 5 dairy farms last inspection sheets.								9. SEE ATTACHED WORKSHEET.						4. Badly eroded floors not marked at last inspection.									
								10. Regulatory action not properly taken at 3 dairy farms.						6. Recirculated cooling water sampling frequency was missed twice.									
								11. Lab. Records not up to date for 2 dairy farms.															

EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (Farms Only, Part I, Item 9)
 (For calculation of REPRT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS, FDA 2359j
 Part I, Item 9 and Part II, Item 8)

Shipper: Clear Milk Coop Receiving Station (Farms Only)

Inspecting Agency My State Dept. of Health

1 Milk Road, My State, 11111

My State Capitol, My State, 22222

Permit Number 1122

Date (s) 13 February, 2000

	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Sample Surveillance Officers Properly Certified	2	2	100	5	5
2	Adequate Training Program	1	1	100	5	5
3	Sample Surveillance Authority Properly Delegated	2	2	100	10	10
4	All Samplers Hold Valid License or Permits	12	8	67	10	6.7
5	Sampler Evaluations Each 2 Years and Reports Filed	12	6	50	20	10
6	Sampling Procedures in Substantial Compliance	4	3	75	20	15
7	Permit Suspension, etc., Taken as Required	12	12	100	20	20
8	Records Systematically Maintained and Current	1	1	100	10	10
	Total Credit				100	81.7= 82

Items 4 and 7 of this form are not applicable when calculating enforcement scores for Report of Enforcement Methods, Part II, Item 8.

Comments: (4) Three "weekend" haulers and one field person who takes somatic cell count reinstatement samples are not licensed. (5) In addition to the four individuals in #4, two licensed bulk milk haulers were not evaluated in the last 2 years. (6) One hauler was observed to take the milk temperature with a thermometer which had not been sanitized, sample the milk before the required agitation time had elapsed, fill the sample container over the open tank, and not take a temperature control sample at the first stop.

REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS (BTU, 2359j, Page 2)

Shipper Clear Milk Coop BTU #1

Enforcement Rating 89

Date of Survey February 13, 2000

DAIRY FARMS PART I						MILK PLANT PART II						INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER RATING PART III											
Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit	Number	Ordinance Section	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	3	All milk dairy farms hold valid permits	20	20	100	5	5	1	3	All milk plants receiving station and transfer station operators hold valid permits				5		1		Enter total credit from Part I under percent complying				45	
2	5	All dairy farms, etc., inspected at least once every 6 months or as required in Appendix "P"	20	16	80	15	12	2	5	Milk plant inspected at least once every 3 months, transfer station(s) once every 6 months				15		2		Enter total credit from Part II under percent complying				45	/90
3	5	Inspection sheets posted or available	20	20	100	5	5	3	5	Inspection sheets posted or available				5		3	4	All milk and milk products properly labeled				4	
4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA Milk Ordinance as indicated by past inspections	20	15	75	10	7.5	4	7	Requirements interpreted in accordance with PHS/FDA Milk Ordinance as indicated by past inspections				10		4	11	Provisions of Section 11 followed when milk and milk products are imported				6	
5	8	T B & Brucellosis certification on file as required				10	10	5	7 App I	Pasteurization equipment tested at required frequency				15		INDIVIDUAL SHIPPER ENFORCEMENT RATINGS							
6	7	Water samples tested and reports on file as required	20	17	85	5	4.25	6	7	Individual and cooling water samples tested and reports on file as required				5	Individual Shipper of Raw Milk for Pasteurization:								
7	5	Milking time inspection program established				5	5	7	6	Samples of each plant's milk and milk products collected at required frequency and necessary laboratory examination made				10	Without receiving station or plant: Evaluate all items Part I and record. With receiving stations: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II except items 5, 7, & 8. Divide by 65.. Evaluate all items Part III.								
8	6	At least four samples collected from each dairy farm's milk supply every six months and necessary laboratory examinations made	20	18	90	10	9	8	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods				10	Individual Shipper of Pasteurized Milk and Milk Products:								
9	6 App B	Sampling procedures approved by PHS/FDA evaluation methods	1	82	82	10	8.2	9	3,5,6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required				15	With attached Raw Supply: Evaluate all items Part I. Evaluate all items Part II, use 45 weight. Evaluate all items Part III.								
10	3,5,6,16	Permit issuance, suspension, revocation, reinstatement, hearings, and/or court actions taken as required	20	18	90	15	13.5	10		Records systematically maintained and current				10	With unattached Raw Supplies: Evaluate all items Part II, use 90 weight. Evaluate all items Part III, except 1.								
11		Records systematically maintained and current	20	20	100	10	10	TOTAL CREDIT, Part II						Remarks (Continued)									
TOTAL CREDIT, Part I							89.45	Remarks (Continued)															
Remarks								4. Last inspection missed violations at 5 dairy farms.						10. Inadequate regulatory action at 2 dairy farms.									
2. Minimum inspection interval not met on 4								6. Outdated water samples at 3 dairy farms.															
of the 20 dairy farms evaluated.								8. Insufficient samples from 2 dairy farms.															
								9. SEE ATTACHED WORK SHEET.															

EVALUATION OF SAMPLING PROCEDURES (*Farms Only, Part I, Item 9*)
 (For calculation of REPORT OF ENFORCEMENT METHODS, FDA 2359j
 Part I, Item 9 and Part II, Item 8)

Shipper Clear Milk Coop BTU

Inspecting Agency My State Dept. of Health

1 Milk Road, My State, 11111

My State Capitol, My State, 22222

Permit Number 1122

Date (s) 13 February, 2000

No.	Item	Number Inspected	Number Complying	Percent Complying	Weight	Credit
1	Sample Surveillance Officers Properly Certified	2	2	100	5	5
2	Adequate Training Program	1	1	100	5	5
3	Sample Surveillance Authority Properly Delegated	2	2	100	10	10
4	All Samplers Hold Valid License or Permits	12	8	67	10	6.7
5	Sampler Evaluations each 2 Years and Reports Filed	12	6	50	20	10
6	Sampling Procedures in substantial Compliance	4	3	75	20	15
7	Permit Suspension, etc., Taken as Required	12	12	100	20	20
8	Records Systematically Maintained and Current	1	1	100	10	10
	Total Credit				100	81.7= 82

Items 4 and 7 of this form are not applicable when calculating enforcement scores for Report of Enforcement Methods, Part II, Item 8.

Comments: (4) Three "weekend" haulers and one field person who takes somatic cell count reinstatement samples are not licensed. (5) In addition to the four individuals in #4, two licensed bulk milk haulers were not evaluated in the last 2 years. (6) One hauler was observed to take the milk temperature with a thermometer which had not been sanitized, sample the milk before the required agitation time had elapsed, fill the sample container over the open tank, and not take a temperature control sample at the first stop.

STATUS OF RAW MILK FOR PASTEURIZATION (2359k)

Name of Shipper Great Cows BTU

Date of Survey 10 January, 2000

Sanitation Compliance Rating¹ 91

Name of Dairy Farm	Pounds Sold Daily (100# Units)	ITEMS OF SANITATION																									Bacterial count or drug residue analysis	Total Debits ²	Pounds Sold Daily (100 # units) X Total Debits	Remarks										
		Milking Barn Construction					Milkhouse Construction and Facilities					Utensils and Equipment			Milking		Drugs		Personnel		Insects and Rodents																			
		Abnormal Milk	Somatic Cell Count	Floors		Walls and Ceilings	Separate Stalls	Lighting	Ventilation	Cleanliness	Cowyard	Floors	Walls and Ceilings	Lighting and Ventilation	Misc. Requirements	Cleaning Facilities	Cleanliness	Toilet	Water Supply	Construction	Cleaning	Sanitization	Storage	Flanks, Udders and Teats	Protection from Contamination	Drugs, drug equip., cleaners/sanitizers, labeled, handled and stored					Labeled for use, stored safely	Hand Washing Facilities	Personnel Cleanliness	Cooling	Fly breeding minimized	Manure packs maintained	Milkhouse openings screened,	Doors tight, milkhouse free of insects	Approved pesticides used, equipment and utensils not exposed to contamination	Surroundings neat and clean
		1	1	A	B	2	C	D	E	3	4	A	B	5	D	E	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15					A-C	D,E	16	17	18	AB	CD	19	EF	GH
WEIGHT	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	2	2	2	4	4	2 or 5	4	5	5	2	5	3	2	(7)	5	2	1	5	3	2	2	2	2	10					
1. Roy Harris	17			1													5								2		1									9	153	Major Water Violation		
2. James Henley	21			1														4																		4	84			
3. W. T. Miller	5	5						3	3		1											2	5						5							10	34	170	Insufficient Milk Samples	
4. John Barkley	11																					2	5		2		2									11	121	Only cold water to hand sink		
5. K. R. Olson	15							3					2				2																			7	105	Minor water violation		
6. Robert Taylor	10	5																																	5	50	2 of 4 ssc w/last 1 violative			
7. Pete Carhart	18			1				3	3													5														12	216			
8. Davis & Nelson	33							3	3	1																										7	231			
9. Al Hart	10							3							4																					7	70			
10. Don Meyers	8						1								4								5		2											12	96			
11. Wm. Long	12			1				3									4													2						10	120	3r - feed storage		
12. Jon Jones	27				1								2		4										5											12	324	Drugs w/o directions		
13. John Marshall	16																					5	3	2	5											15	240	Drug storage and pig meds		
14. R. W. Ripple	12			1																												2			3	36				
15. N. W. Williams	23	5										2					2																			9	207	Dirty abnormal equip barn		
16. R. A. Wolf	19	5				1																														6	114	Dirty abnormal equip milk house		
17. Frank Ecker	11							3							4																					7	77			
18. Henry Ronan	13																		5	5	2															12	156	Inflations stored in parlor open to housing		
Total or Subtotal	281	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	7	3	--	2	1	2	--	4	--	3	2	1	1	3	5	1	4	2	1	1	1	1	--	--	1	1	1	182	2570			
% of Dairy Farms Violating																																								

Continuation of the "Status of Raw Milk For Pasteurization" for: <u>Great Cows BTU</u>																			Date of Survey <u>January 10, 2000</u>																		
ITEM	1		2					3	4	5					6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15			16	17	18	19							
	WEIGHT	5	5	1	1	1	1	1	3	3	1	1	2	2	2	4	4	2 or 5	4	5	5	2	5	3	2-(7)-5	2	1	5	3	2	2	2	10				
Subtotals From Other Side	281	2	2	1	3	1	1	1	7	3	--	2	1	2	--	4	--	3	2	1	1	3	5	1	4	2	1	1	1	--	--	1	1	1	182	2570	
19. Smith & Jones	4			1	1	1	1		3										4			2	5											18	72		
20. H. Ada,ms	42			1																	2			2										5	210	No vet name on Rx drugs	
21. Joe Lamb	9			1	1																	2											10	14	126	2 of 4 SPC, Last 1 violative	
22. B. Forest	12			1									2																					5	60		
23. Anna Bowers	11				1				3												5													9	99		
24. L.R. Hayser	4																			5		2												7	28		
25. Pete Carson	15				1												5																	6	90	Major water violation	
26.																																					
27.																																					
28.																																					
29.																																					
30.																																					
31.																																					
32.																																					
33.																																					
34.																																					
35.																																					
36.																																					
37.																																					
38.																																					
39.																																					
40.																																					
Totals	378	2	2	5	7	2	2	1	9	3	-	2	1	3	--	4	--	4	3	2	2	7	6	1	5	2	1	1	1	--	1	1	1	2	246	3255	
% of Producers violating		8	8	20	28	8	8	4	36	12	0	8	4	12	0	16	0	16	12	8	8	28	24	4	20	8	4	4	4	4	0	4	4	4	8		

1 Sanitation Compliance Rating = $100 - \frac{\text{Total pounds processed daily} \times \text{Total Debits}}{\text{Total pounds processed daily}} = 100 - \frac{3255}{378} = 91.39$ or 9

2 Total debits for each pasteurization plant is the sum of the weights of the items violated.

3 Total pounds processed daily are calculated in 100# units.

*Used only when not in compliance. Prorated by product. (Note – If any ITEM or part of an ITEM is violated, indicate by the debit value (weight) under that ITEM.

**STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (2359L)
INCLUDING RECEIVING STATIONS**

Milk Plant I.M.A. Dairy

Date of Survey 3/20, 2000

Sanitation Compliance Rating¹ 90

NAME OF PLANT	Pounds Processed daily (100# Units)	ITEMS OF SANITATION																				Total Debits ²	Pounds Processed Daily (100# units) X Total Debits ³	REMARKS										
		Floors	Walls and Ceiling	Doors and Windows	Lighting	Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Toilet-Sewage Disposal Facilities	Water Supply	Hand Washing Facilities	Milk Plant Cleanliness	Sanitary Piping	Containers and Equipment				Protection from Contamination	Pasteurization							Bottling & Capping		Personnel Cleanliness	Vehicles	Surroundings	Bacterial Count*	Coliform Count*			
													Construction and Repair	Cleaning	Sanitization	Storage of Clean Equipment		Storage of Single Service Articles	Indicating and Recording Therms.	Time and Temperature Controls	Adulteration Controls				Regeneration Heating	Temperature Recording Charts						Cooling	Bottling and Packaging	Capping
Item	1	2	3	4a	4b	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12ab	12c-f	13	14	15a	15b	16abc (1) (2)	16b-c	16d	16e	17	18	19	20	21	22	5*	10*				
I.M.A. Dairy	5,000					3						3	5	5	3	2	3	5														6	30,000	
Buttermilk Vat #1 (15)																			15												15	225	Inlet valve not removed from vat during holding	
C. Cheese Starter vat (3)																					4									4	12	Air Space reading not made at BOTH beginning and end of holding period.		
By Products HTST (360)																			15		10									25	9,000	Plant operating computer can start booster pump in divert mode		
1% Milk (500)																						5						5	10	20	10,000	Insufficient # of samples taken in the last 6 months		
Tub Container (70)																								5						5	350	Hand lidding of 2 lb. containers		
Sour Cream (5)																													10	10	50	2 of last 4 Coliform counts high (last test violative)		
Totals	5,000																														49,637			

Form FDA 2359L

1 Sanitation Compliance Rating = $\frac{\text{Total pounds processed daily} \times \text{Total Debits}}{\text{Total pounds processed daily}}$ Rating = $100 - \frac{49,637}{5,000} = 90.1$ 90

2 Total debits for each pasteurization plant is the sum of the weights of the items violated.

3 Total pounds processed daily are calculated in 100# units.

* Used only when not in compliance. Prorated by product. (Note – If any ITEM or part of an ITEM is violated, indicate by the debit value (weight) under that ITEM.

STATUS OF CONDENSED AND DRY MILK PLANTS (2359L)

Milk Plant G.M.I. Good Dairy

Date of Survey 2/20/2000

Sanitation Compliance Rating¹ 91

NAME OF PLANT	Pounds Processed Daily (100# Units)	ITEMS OF SANITATION																				Total Debits ²	Pounds Processed Daily ³ (100# units) X Total Debits	REMARKS										
		Floors	Walls and Ceiling	Doors and Windows	Lighting	Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Toilet-Sewage Disposal Facilities	Water Supply	Hand Washing Facilities	Milk Plant Cleanliness	Sanitary Piping	Containers and Equipment			Protection from Contamination	Pasteurization				Cooling				Packing		Personnel		Vehicles	Surroundings	Bacterial Count [#]	Coliform Count [#]		
													Construction and Repair	Cleaning	Sanitization		Storage of Clean Equipment	Storage of Single-Service Articles	Indicating and Recording Thermometers	Time and Temperature Controls					Regeneration Heating	Temperature Recording Charts	Container Filling	Container Closure Sealing and Storage					Cleanliness	Protective Clothing
Item	1	2	3	4a	4b	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12ab	12c-f	13	14	15a	15b	16a (1)	16a (2)	16b	16c	17	18	19	20	21	22	5*	10*				
G.M.I. Good	1000	1	1	2	1	1	3	4	2	3	3	3	5	5	3	2	3	5	4	15	10	4	5	3	3	1	1	2	2	5*	10*	8	8 000	
Whey HTST																						4										4	800	Event pen not working
Cond. Milk																													5	5	375	2 of the last 4 SPC tests high (last 1 violative.)		
	1000																																9175	

Form FDA 2359L

1 Sanitation Compliance Rating = 100 - $\frac{\text{Total pounds processed daily} \times \text{Total Debits}}{\text{Total pounds processed daily}}$

Rating = 100 - $\frac{9175}{1000}$ = 90.8 = 91

2 Total debits for each pasteurization plant is the sum of the weights of the items violated.

3 Total pounds processed daily are calculated in 100# units.

* Used only when not in compliance. Prorated by product. (Note – If any ITEM or part of an ITEM is violated, indicate by the debit value (weight) under that ITEM.)

**STATUS OF MILK PLANTS (Plant & Rec. Sta., 2359L)
INCLUDING RECEIVING STATIONS**

Milk Plant Metro Dairy Co.

Date of Survey 1/31/00

Sanitation Compliance Rating¹ 91

NAME OF PLANT	Pounds Processed daily (100# Units)	ITEMS OF SANITATION																				Total Debits ²	Pounds Processed Daily (100# units) X Total Debits ³													
		Floors	Walls and Ceiling	Doors and Windows	Lighting	Ventilation	Separate Rooms	Toilet-Sewage Disposal Facilities	Water Supply	Hand Washing Facilities	Milk Plant Cleanliness	Sanitary Piping	Containers and Equipment				Storage of Service Articles	Protected from Contamination	Pasteurization						Bottling Capping		Personnel Cleanliness	Vehicles	Surroundings	Bacterial Count*	Coliform Count*					
													Construction and Repair	Cleaning	Sanitation	Storage of Clean Equipment			Indicating and Recording Thermometers	Time and Temperature Controls	Adulteration Controls				Regeneration Heating	Temperature Recording Charts						Cooling	Bottling and Packaging	Capping		
Item	1	2	3	4a	4b	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12ab	12c-f	13	14	15a	15b	16abc (1)	16abc (2)	16b-c	16d	16e	17	18	19	20	21	22							
Weight	1	1	2	1	1	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	5	5	3	2	3	5	4	15	3	10	4	5	5		1	1	2	5*	10*					
Metro Dairy Co.	1000											3						5																8	8,000	100 - 8 = 92
Metro Receiving Station (680)		1									3													5										9		Above 90, not completed
White Milk Receiving Station (220)		1	1	2	1						3															1	1							11		100 - 11 = 99, (Below 90 Subtract receiving station score From plant score). 92 - 89 = 3 X 220 = 660

1 Sanitation Compliance Rating = $100 - \frac{\text{Total pounds processed daily} \times \text{Total Debits}}{\text{Total pounds processed daily}}$

Sanitation Rating = $100 - \frac{8660}{1000} = 91.4 = 91$

2 Total debits for each pasteurization plant is the sum of the weights of the items violated.

3 Total pounds processed daily are calculated in 100# units.

* Used only when not in compliance. Prorated by product. (Note – If any ITEM or part of an ITEM is violated, indicate by the debit value (weight) under that ITEM.

Department of Health and Human Services Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration	INTERSTATE MILK SHIPPERS REPORT <i>(2359I)</i> <hr/> <i>(Submit an original and two copies to the FDA Regional Office)</i>	INTERNAL USE ONLY <table border="1" style="width:100%; height: 40px; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width:12.5%;"></td> </tr> </table>								

1. NAME OF SHIPPER Clean Milk Dairv	2. CITY Every Town	3. STATE Mv State 22112
4. STREET 2525 Milky Way	5. PLANT or BTU NO. 5 2 0 1 5	6. PRODUCT CODE #S. 1 2 4 5 7 9 10 18 19 20

7. SURVEY DATA										
	DAIRY FARMS	RECEIVING OR TRANSFER STATIONS	PASTEURIZATION OR DRYING PLANT ¹	ENFORCEMENT						
	TYPE OF RATING AREA INDIVIDUAL									
RATING (%)	92	NA	91	90						
DATE OF RATING	04/07/2000	NA	04/03/2000	04/07/2000						
TOTAL NUMBER	120	NA	1	APPENDIX N IS THIS SHIPPER IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF APPENDIX N? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO						
NUMBER INSPECTED	34	NA	1							
VOLUME RECEIVED DAILY (Cwt)		NA	98,000							
RATING AGENCY	CERTIFIED STATE RATING OFFICER Mary Milkraater		OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION EXPIRATION DATE 19 Sept 2001	EARLIEST RATING DATE						
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SHD <input type="checkbox"/> SDA <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER _____				<table border="1" style="width:100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <th style="width:12.5%;">MONTH</th> <th style="width:12.5%;">DAY</th> <th style="width:12.5%;">YEAR</th> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">0 4</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 3</td> <td style="text-align: center;">0 0</td> </tr> </table>	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	0 4	0 3	0 0
MONTH	DAY	YEAR								
0 4	0 3	0 0								

AGENCY PROVIDING CONTINUOUS SUPERVISION OF SUPPLY
My State Department of Agriculture

8. LABORATORY CONTROL									
APPROVED LABORATORY NUMBER	EXPIRATION DATE	PROCESSED MILK TESTS APPROVED					RAW MILK TESTS APPROVED		
A. 52001	A. 02/01	SPC	COLI	PHOS	RBC	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS	VIABLE COUNTS	SOMATIC CELL COUNTS	DRUG RESIDUE TESTS
B. 52302	B. 09/00	A. 2	A. 21	A. 22	A. 22	A. 9B2&9D3	A. 2	A. 12	A. 9B2&9D3
		B. _____	B. _____	B. _____	B. _____	B. _____	B. 3	B. 16	B. _____
DATE OF LAST TWO SPLIT SAMPLES		APPROVED WATER LABORATORY AND DATE					WATER TESTS APPROVED		
A. 09/99	A. 02/01	State Health Dept Lab (State EPA 09/99)					MPN		
B. 04/99	B. 09/00								

9. PUBLICATION *(Written permission from shipper must be filed at Regional office of FDA prior to publication of ratings)*

LETTER OF PERMISSION TO PUBLISH IS TRANSMITTED WITH THIS REPORT? YES NO

10. SUBMISSION OF REPORT BY STATE AGENCY

DATE OF REPORT 04/11/00	SUBMITTED BY: (SIGNATURE AND TITLE) Mary Milkraater /ss Milk Sanitation Rating Officer
-----------------------------------	---

FOR FDA REGIONAL OFFICE USE ONLY

Written permission from shipper dated _____ on file and publication of rating recommended.

Date	Signature (FDA Milk Specialist)
------	---------------------------------

¹Submit separate form for each pasteurization plant or drying plant.

